DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

COURSE NUMBER: biol 481	SECTION AA	QUARTER:	⊘ W, SP, SU
TEACHING ASSISTANT: Sona	singhal	YEAR: 12-	-6-12

Please take a minute to evaluate your teaching assistant. This evaluation is important as it will help your TA in becoming a more effective instructor in the future. This evaluation is optional and is done anonymously. Your TA will not be able to see this evaluation until the grades have been posted.

PLEASE EVALUATE ONLY THE EFFECTIVENESS OF YOUR TA. YOU WILL RECEIVE A SEPARATE EVALUATION FORM FOR THE CLASS.

- A: excellent, stellar, first round draft choice, one of the best in your experience.
- B: very good, near the top of line, but just not perfect.
- C: good, middle of the road, does an OK job.
- D: leaves much to be desired, probably should work harder and try to improve.
- E: abysmal, one of the worst, wish you'd never have this TA again.
- N/A: no comments, not relevant for this particular class.

※ IMPORTANT: Please fill out these forms in PEN, not PENCIL! ※

	A	В	С	D	E	N/A
was an effective teacher	X					
was knowledgeable about course material	X		γ.			
presented course material clearly	X					
was well organized and prepared	X					
was enthusiastic about teaching/subject material	X					
took an active interest in the progress of her/his students	X					
clarified difficult aspects of course material	X					
encouraged student participation	X					
motivated students to do their best work	X					
was available to help students outside of class	X					
answered questions adequately	X					
graded promptly and consistently	X					
gave frequent and timely feedback to students	X					
provided theoretical or applied relevance of presented material	X					
addressed concerns, needs, and respected the view of diverse backgrounds	X					
How did your TA compare to all other TA's at UW?	X					

(Please turn over)

Your TA:

Comments:

1. What aspects of the TA's instruction did you find most helpful?

Sonia was ALWAYS willing to nell and answer questions she was always well prepared for lab and made things super clear! I felt comfortable going to nor amount with any questions and knew shed do her best to help me understand.

2. What aspects of the TA's instruction do you think could be improved?

COURSE NUMBER SECTION ' QUARTER: , W, SP, SU TEACHING ASSISTANT:

Please take a minute to evaluate your teaching assistant. This evaluation is important as it will help your TA in becoming a more effective instructor in the future. This evaluation is optional and is done anonymously. Your TA will not be able to see this evaluation until the grades have been posted.

PLEASE EVALUATE ONLY THE EFFECTIVENESS OF YOUR TA. YOU WILL RECEIVE A SEPARATE EVALUATION FORM FOR THE CLASS.

Your TA:

excellent, stellar, first round draft choice, one of the best in your experience.

very good, near the top of line, but just not perfect.

C: good, middle of the road, does an OK job.

D: leaves much to be desired, probably should work harder and try to improve.

E: abysmal, one of the worst, wish you'd never have this TA again.

N/A: no comments, not relevant for this particular class.



| IMPORTANT: Please fill out these forms in PEN, not PENCIL! | |



	A	В	С	D	E	N/A
was an effective teacher	\perp X					
was knowledgeable about course material	X					
presented course material clearly	X.					
was well organized and prepared	X					
was enthusiastic about teaching/subject material	X					
took an active interest in the progress of her/his students	X					
clarified difficult aspects of course material	X					
encouraged student participation		X				
motivated students to do their best work		X				
was available to help students outside of class	X					
answered questions adequately	X					
graded promptly and consistently	X					
gave frequent and timely feedback to students	X,					
provided theoretical or applied relevance of presented material	X					
addressed concerns, needs, and respected the view of diverse backgrounds		X				
How did your TA compare to all other TA's at UW?	X					

(Please turn over)

Comments:

1. What aspects of the TA's instruction did you find most helpful?

Sonra was excellent at answering emarks and provising therough explinations. She was always very prempt with explination. One of the best 7A's I've had.

2. What aspects of the TA's instruction do you think could be improved?

DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

OHARTER.

A W CD CIT

		QUILLIE (19 11,01,00
TEACHING ASSISTANT: SOFT		YEAR: 2012	
Please take a minute to evaluate your teaching	assistant. This evaluation is i	mportant as it will	help your TA in becoming a more

Please take a minute to evaluate your teaching assistant. This evaluation is important as it will help your TA in becoming a more effective instructor in the future. This evaluation is optional and is done anonymously. Your TA will not be able to see this evaluation until the grades have been posted.

PLEASE EVALUATE <u>ONLY</u> THE EFFECTIVENESS OF YOUR TA. YOU WILL RECEIVE A SEPARATE EVALUATION FORM FOR THE CLASS.

A: excellent, stellar, first round draft choice, one of the best in your experience.

SECTION

- B: very good, near the top of line, but just not perfect.
- C: good, middle of the road, does an OK job.

COURSE NUMBER. 481

- D: leaves much to be desired, probably should work harder and try to improve.
- E: abysmal, one of the worst, wish you'd never have this TA again.
- N/A: no comments, not relevant for this particular class.

※ IMPORTANT: Please fill out these forms in PEN, not PENCIL! ※

Your TA: Ma. В C D E N/A was an effective teacher was knowledgeable about course material presented course material clearly was well organized and prepared was enthusiastic about teaching/subject material took an active interest in the progress of her/his students clarified difficult aspects of course material encouraged student participation motivated students to do their best work was available to help students outside of class answered questions adequately graded promptly and consistently gave frequent and timely feedback to students provided theoretical or applied relevance of presented material addressed concerns, needs, and respected the view of diverse backgrounds How did your TA compare to all other TA's at UW?

(Please turn over)

Comments:

1. What aspects of the TA's instruction did you find most helpful?

She was great at explaining labs and always made sure that everyone understood what was going on

2. What aspects of the TA's instruction do you think could be improved?

... I really court think of anything.

DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

COURSE NUMBER: Biol 481	SECTION AA	QUARTER: (A, W, SP, SU
TEACHING ASSISTANT: Sonia.		

Please take a minute to evaluate your teaching assistant. This evaluation is important as it will help your TA in becoming a more effective instructor in the future. This evaluation is optional and is done anonymously. Your TA will not be able to see this evaluation until the grades have been posted.

PLEASE EVALUATE ONLY THE EFFECTIVENESS OF YOUR TA. YOU WILL RECEIVE A SEPARATE EVALUATION FORM FOR THE CLASS.

A: excellent, stellar, first round draft choice, one of the best in your experience.

B: very good, near the top of line, but just not perfect.

C: good, middle of the road, does an OK job.

D: leaves much to be desired, probably should work harder and try to improve.

E: abysmal, one of the worst, wish you'd never have this TA again.

N/A: no comments, not relevant for this particular class.

灣 IMPORTANT: Please fill out these forms in PEN, not PENCIL! 賞

ur TA: Sonia Singhal	A	В	С	D	Е	N/A
was an effective teacher	V					
was knowledgeable about course material	V			7		
presented course material clearly	V					
was well organized and prepared	V					
was enthusiastic about teaching/subject material	V					
took an active interest in the progress of her/his students	V					
clarified difficult aspects of course material	V					v.
encouraged student participation						
motivated students to do their best work	V					
was available to help students outside of class	V					
answered questions adequately	~					
graded promptly and consistently						
gave frequent and timely feedback to students	/	-				
provided theoretical or applied relevance of presented material	V					
addressed concerns, needs, and respected the view of diverse backgrounds	V					
How did your TA compare to all other TA's at UW?	/			J		

(Please turn over)

Your TA:

Comments:

1. What aspects of the TA's instruction did you find most helpful?

Always happy and very prepared so carry every moving for lab! Excellent lab Illustrations on the board for carr lab.

2. What aspects of the TA's instruction do you think could be improved?

nonel Exallent Quarter.

DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

COURSE NUMBER: KID 701	SECTION KY	QUARTER:	A,W, SP, SU
TEACHING ASSISTANT: SOMU	Signal	YEAR: 2017	2
Diagram to be a maintain to a series of the			

Please take a minute to evaluate your teaching assistant. This evaluation is important as it will help your TA in becoming a more effective instructor in the future. This evaluation is optional and is done anonymously. Your TA will not be able to see this evaluation until the grades have been posted.

PLEASE EVALUATE ONLY THE EFFECTIVENESS OF YOUR TA. YOU WILL RECEIVE A SEPARATE EVALUATION FORM FOR THE CLASS.

A: excellent, stellar, first round draft choice, one of the best in your experience.

B: very good, near the top of line, but just not perfect.

C: good, middle of the road, does an OK job.

Soma Singhal

D: leaves much to be desired, probably should work harder and try to improve.

E: abysmal, one of the worst, wish you'd never have this TA again.

N/A: no comments, not relevant for this particular class.

※ IMPORTANT: Please fill out these forms in PEN, not PENCIL! ※

	A	В	С	D	E	N/A
vas an effective teacher	\ \frac{1}{}	В	C	Б	Е	N/A
vas knowledgeable about course material	18		,			
presented course material clearly	10					
vas well organized and prepared	X					
vas enthusiastic about teaching/subject material	X					
ook an active interest in the progress of her/his students	X					
larified difficult aspects of course material	X					
ncouraged student participation	X				N :	
notivated students to do their best work	X					
vas available to help students outside of class	X					
inswered questions adequately	X					
graded promptly and consistently	X					
rave frequent and timely feedback to students	χ					
provided theoretical or applied relevance of presented material	X					
addressed concerns, needs, and respected the view of diverse backgrounds	X					Ü
How did your TA compare to all other TA's at UW?	X					

(Please turn over)

Comments:

1. What aspects of the TA's instruction did you find most helpful?

Very willing to give feedback and explain concepts with alternative explanations.

Draws great diagrams for explanations.
Leally enthusiatic about the subject
Very on top of communicating with students

2. What aspects of the TA's instruction do you think could be improved?

ハハ

DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

QUARTER:

(A)W, SP, SU

TEACHING ASSISTANT: SOVIZ	YEAR: 2012
Please take a minute to evaluate your teaching assistant. This eva	lluation is important as it will help your TA in becoming a more

effective instructor in the future. This evaluation is optional and is done anonymously. Your TA will not be able to see this evaluation until the grades have been posted.

PLEASE EVALUATE <u>ONLY</u> THE EFFECTIVENESS OF YOUR TA. YOU WILL RECEIVE A SEPARATE EVALUATION FORM FOR THE CLASS.

SECTION AA

- A: excellent, stellar, first round draft choice, one of the best in your experience.
- B: very good, near the top of line, but just not perfect.
- C: good, middle of the road, does an OK job.

COURSE NUMBER: 1201481

- D: leaves much to be desired, probably should work harder and try to improve.
- E: abysmal, one of the worst, wish you'd never have this TA again.
- N/A: no comments, not relevant for this particular class.

	A	В	С	D	Е	N/A
was an effective teacher	V					1.1,11
was knowledgeable about course material	1					
presented course material clearly	V					
was well organized and prepared	1					
was enthusiastic about teaching/subject material	V					
took an active interest in the progress of her/his students	/					
clarified difficult aspects of course material	V					
encouraged student participation						
motivated students to do their best work						
was available to help students outside of class	· ·					
answered questions adequately	V					
graded promptly and consistently	V					
gave frequent and timely feedback to students						
provided theoretical or applied relevance of presented material	\ \ \					
addressed concerns, needs, and respected the view of diverse backgrounds						
How did your TA compare to all other TA's at UW?	✓					
					. 0	

(Please turn over)

Your TA:

Comments:

She was so willing to help and go out of her way to make sure everyone understood everything! What aspects of the TA's instruction did you find most helpful? 1.

2. What aspects of the TA's instruction do you think could be improved?

Horesty, I couldn't tell You! She